

DCP 400 Working Group Meeting 02

30 March 2022 at 10:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Gavin Beresford [GB]	Alt Han Co.
Carl Dennis [CD]	Shell Energy
Colette Baldwin [CB]	Gemserv
David Jones [DJ]	Alt Han Co.
Geoff Huckerby [GH]	Power Data Associates
Jonathan Elliott [JE]	Certsure
Scott McLaughlin [SM]	Scottish Power Energy Networks
Paul Abreu [PA]	Energy Networks Association
Richard Hill [RH]	Centrica
Warren Lacy [WL]	NPg
Code Administrator	
Angelo Fitzhenry [AF] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Hannah Proffitt [HP] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed attendees to the second DCP 400 Working Group (WG) meeting and noted that no apologies had been received.
- 1.2 The group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Chair presented the minutes from the previous meeting, advising they had received suggested amendments from DJ. The group reviewed the redlined version and agreed to accept the changes. The final version of the minutes is included as **Attachment 1**.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review the actions from the previous meeting and to consider the content to be included in the consultation and the associated timescales.

3. Update on Actions from last meeting

- 3.1 DJ presented updates on his actions, these can be found in **Appendix 1**.
- 3.2 Regarding 01/03, DJ highlighted that he would refer back to Gowling following the discussions at this meeting. CB noted that they were looking to seek clarification on whether the change would sit better in the Smart Energy Code (SEC) as the existing permissions are there.
- 3.3 CB advised that all Parties have an obligation to accede to the Smart Energy Code (SEC) and that Section Z of the SEC covers the Supplier Forum and the budget process for Alt Han. CB raised that the SEC already has the power to appoint a coordinator and suggested that the solution could therefore be easier to achieve in the SEC. CB noted that it would remove the need for accession to DCUSA.
- 3.4 DJ agreed to refer to Gowling to seek advice on whether the solution could be achieved under the current provisions within SEC.

02/01: DJ to refer to Gowling regarding whether the solution for DCP 400 could be achieved under the current provisions within SEC.

- 3.5 SM asked whether the progression of the change should be paused until Gowling have confirmed a DCUSA change is needed. The group agreed to continue current discussions and to seek an update from DJ on his action ahead of the next meeting.
- 3.6 DJ noted that at the previous meeting, members asked why the solution focuses only on electricity meters and excludes gas. DJ advised that gas meters are out of scope for the CMR work currently, due to there being a very low prevalence of gas CMRs. DJ noted that the Alt Han solution C should address remote gas, and that work is underway to address closely collocated meters. DJ noted that the project will focus on the more significant electricity challenge and that the Target Operating Model is designed to address this and utilise the relevant electricity expertise to do so.

4. Review of DCP 400 Proposed Solution – Consultation Considerations

- 4.1 The group reviewed Section 4 of the Change Proposal - Solution and Legal Text. The Chair noted that they would draft the legal text for review at the next meeting, and that Gowling would review this further along in the process.
- 4.2 SM noted that they had attended a call with DJ to discuss how the solution could be aligned with DNOs existing processes. PA agreed that this is important. DJ noted that Alt Han is conducting conversations with DNOs separately to discuss timings and their systems, but that this is not necessarily within the scope of this CP.
- 4.3 CB suggested that the specific flows needed for the solution will need to be discussed and that it may be helpful for them to review the provision of information considerations for DCP 394.

5. Agree Work Plan

- 5.1 The group agreed for the Work Plan to be updated once the timescales and next steps have been decided.

6. Agenda Items for the Next Meeting

- 6.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and agreed that at the next meeting, the group should review the open actions, consider the legal text further and consider the consultation further.

7. Any Other Business

- 7.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss, to which nothing was raised.

8. Date of Next Meeting

- 8.1 The next meeting will be held on Thursday 21 April, between 1pm and 4pm.

9. Attachments

- Attachment 1 - DCP 400 Working Group Meeting 01_Final Minutes v1.0

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/04	The Secretariat to organise subgroup to produce first draft of consultation document ahead of next meeting.	RC	Action ongoing. <i>A draft will be circulated ahead of the next meeting.</i>
02/01	DJ to refer to Gowling regarding whether the solution for DCP 400 could be achieved under the current provisions within SEC.	DJ	New action.

Closed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	DJ to work with CB (REC) and Tim Newton (SEC) to review potential cross code impacts.	DJ and CB	Action closed. RECCo: DJ/CB met to discuss REC impacts and noted that a change would be required if we wanted the 'Shared MOP' to issues communications using industry data flows when undertaking resolution works. The change would be necessary as the Shared MOP may not be the registered MOP to the MPAN and therefore the messaging would fail validation. A similar change is required for permission to issue messages under DCP394. SEC: DJ/TN met to discuss the SEC and concluded no change would be necessary for 1) current cost recovery proposals 2) binding 'non-acceded' Suppliers to the CMR activity.
01/02	DNOs to work with Alt HAN Co to consider possibilities surrounding	DJ and DNOs	Action closed.

	communications needed for the solution.		AHC has met with ENA and its members to discuss requirements. It was noted that not all CMRs will require DNO involvement, and we identified the key touchpoints between the CMRC and DNOs. These are subject to further consideration outside DCP 400.
01/03	DJ to refer to Gowling regarding potential situations where Parties have not acceded to Alt HAN Co.	DJ	Action closed. Closed and replaced with action 02/01.